

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

864-638-4575

864-638-4000

864-972-2136

GOOD

ND

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Good	Average	N/A
2003	Good	Average	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

37.7

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

Definition of Critical Terms Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	52	227	134
Percent satisfied with learning environment	98.1%	73.5%	76.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	82.8%	64.4%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	96.0%	85.1%	81.7%

PACT PERFORMANCE								/,
	Englis	AND TESTING	/,	ol spin single of		Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	cient and cet
	/100	kri lesti	lested old Br	CM BS3	Basic ok	3roficie/	Manue	cient ance
	Enfoli	401/ o/	16 / 18	90,	o/o	61 / 0/0	Pr 640	Adul.
	/ • •		0/0	allas/La	iguage Ai		100	/ 5
All students	763	99.3	21.8	46.6	28.2	3.4	31.7	17.6
Gender	703	99.3	21.0	40.0	20.2	3.4	31.7	17.0
Male	419	99.8	26.1	48.7	22.6	2.5	25.1	17.6
Female	344	98.8	16.5	43.9	35.1	4.6	39.6	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	344	30.0	10.5	40.0	33.1	4.0	33.0	17.0
White	661	99.2	18.8	46.8	30.5	3.9	34.4	17.6
African-American	16	100.0	46.7	33.3	20.0	N/A	20.0	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	84	100.0	44.6	47.7	7.7	N/A	7.7	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	04	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status		100.0	IN/A	IN/A	IN//A	IN//A	IN/A	17.0
Not disabled	594	99.3	14.7	45.1	35.8	4.4	40.2	17.6
Disabled	169	99.4	46.6	51.6	1.9	N/A	1.9	17.6
Migrant Status	109	99.4	40.0	31.0	1.9	IN/A	1.9	17.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	763	99.3	21.8	46.6	28.2	3.4	31.7	17.6
English Proficiency	703	99.3	21.0	40.0	20.2	3.4	31.7	17.0
Limited English proficient	18	100.0	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	745	99.3	19.8	47.7	29.0	3.5	32.5	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	140	33.0	13.0	71.1	23.0	0.0	32.0	17.0
Subsidized meals	353	99.7	32.6	46.2	19.6	1.5	21.1	17.6
Full-pay meals	409	99.0	12.7	46.8	35.4	5.1	40.5	17.6
. u pay moulo	1 400	00.0	12.7	10.0	00.4	0.1	1 40.0	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	763	99.9	14.0	37.7	25.1	23.2	48.3	15.5
Gender	700	00.0	11.0	01.1	20.1	20.2	10.0	10.0
Male	419	99.8	15.6	40.5	23.6	20.4	44.0	15.5
Female	344	100.0	12.1	34.4	26.9	26.6	53.5	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	J-4	100.0	12.1	U-1F	20.0	20.0	00.0	10.0
White	661	99.8	12.2	37.2	25.7	24.9	50.6	15.5
African-American	16	100.0	13.3	46.7	20.0	20.0	40.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	84	100.0	32.3	41.5	18.5	7.7	26.2	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status		100.0	14/73	14//	. 1// (14// (. 1// 1	10.0
Not disabled	594	99.8	7.7	33.5	29.8	29.0	58.8	15.5
Disabled	169	100.0	36.0	52.8	8.7	2.5	11.2	15.5
Migrant Status	100	. 50.0	30.0	52.0	5.,			70.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	763	99.9	14.0	37.7	25.1	23.2	48.3	15.5
English Proficiency	. 55							12.0
Limited English proficient	18	100.0	82.4	11.8	5.9	N/A	5.9	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	745	99.9	12.2	38.4	25.6	23.8	49.4	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	173	50.0		55.∓		20.0	10.7	10.0

20.5

8.5

42.9

33.4

28.1

15.1

29.9

36.6

58.0

15.5

15.5

100.0

409

99.8

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 8

251

99.6

triding of testics olo Profese Harden de de la companya olo Balom Basic olo Proficient o/o Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 241 37.9 42.7 N/A 19.4 34.1 8.6 Grade 7 262 42.6 31.3 N/A 20.7 5.5 36.7 Grade 8 234 N/A 19.6 47.3 27.2 5.8 33.0 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 254 98.8 28.5 39.7 27.3 4.5 31.8 Grade 7 258 21.3 46.3 30.3 2.0 32.4 99.6

15.4

53.8

27.1

3.8

30.8

				IVI	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	241	N/A	15.6	40.3	28.1	16.0	44.2
	Grade 7	262	N/A	27.3	34.8	17.6	20.3	37.9
•	Grade 8	234	N/A	31.9	49.6	14.6	4.0	18.6
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	254	99.6	11.1	25.9	30.9	32.1	63.0
	Grade 7	258	100.0	15.1	37.6	22.4	24.9	47.3
	Grade 8	251	100.0	15.8	49.8	22.0	12.4	34.4

SCHOOL PROFILE

0	ur School	Change from	Middle Schools with Students	Median Middle	
	ui Scriooi	Last Year	Like Ours	School	
Students (n= 775)					
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	15.6%	Down from 24.1%	19.6%	14.4%	
Retention rate	3.7%	Down from 3.9%	2.2%	2.3%	
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	97.1%	Down from 97.2%	95.4%	95.2%	
	20.0%	Up from 15.4%	17.6%	13.6%	
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	22.3%	Down from 23.9%	14.5%	14.1%	
	3.9%	Down from 5.1%	4.0%	4.9%	
Suspended or expelled	3.0%	Down from 3.6%	1.1%	1.3%	
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	Down from 0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	
Teachers (n= 54)					
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	46.3%	Down from 49.1%	47.3%	47.1%	
	90.7%	Up from 83.0%	88.0%	82.5%	
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	93.7%	Up from 88.8%	86.4%	84.3%	
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.5%	Up from 96.0%	95.4%	95.0%	
	\$41,104	Up 3.3%	\$40,436	\$39,924	
Prof. development days/teacher	9.5 days	Up from 7.7 days	10.0 days	10.7 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	3.0	No change	3.0	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio	19.0 to 1	Down from 29.3 to 1	21.8 to 1	21.0 to 1	
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	93.0%	Up from 92.3%	89.4%	88.9%	
	\$5,369	Up 9.3%	\$5,690	\$5,854	
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	57.6%	Down from 66.0%	62.2%	62.0%	
	Good	No change	Good	Good	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	76.0%	Down from 79.5%	94.5%	94.8%	
	yes	N/A	yes	yes	

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviatio	ns for M	issing Data

		•	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

We were pleased with the Absolute rating of Good that we received on our 2002 school report card. We were also happy that our school was a Silver Award winner because of the progress that our students had made. We received \$2450.00 which we used to buy science equipment, sets of classroom novels, dictionaries, thesauruses, and Math materials.

To provide additional help to those students scoring below basic, we continued to offer an after-school, program last year that met two afternoons per week all year. In addition, a summer school program was offered for those needing assistance to promote to the next grade.

As a result of the parent, student, and teacher surveys, and in order to improve school climate, we implemented a program of positive feedback. Teachers were asked to make positive contacts weekly with parents on students who were being successful. Teachers have been recognized for their contributions to improving school life and parents were recognized for volunteering (over one thousand hours) and encouraged to be actively involved at school.

We will evaluate the success of our efforts to improve school climate with the results from this year's surveys. We will also evaluate the effectiveness of our ninety minute Math and Language Arts classes by comparing the results of the past two year's PACT scores to see if the additional class time is having a positive effect.

Our staff development focus this year will be on improving instructional practices and addressing state standards as we implement a program called STANDARDS IN PRACTICE provided by the state.

Dianne L. England

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.